Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–6 (XXXX) Printed 17 September 2014 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

Post-main-sequence debris from rotation-induced YORP break-up of small bodies

Dimitri Veras[1⋆], Seth A. Jacobson[2,3], Boris T. Gänsicke[1]
[1] *Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK*
[2] *Laboratoire Lagrange, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, Boulevard de l'Observatoire, F-06304 Nice Cedex 4, France*
[3] *Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Universtät Bayreuth, D-95440 Bayreuth, Germany*

arXiv:1409.4412v1 [astro-ph.EP] 15 Sep 2014

Accepted 2014 September 14. Received 2014 September 08; in original form 2014 July 24

ABSTRACT

Although discs of dust and gas have been observed orbiting white dwarfs, the origin of this circumstellar matter is uncertain. We hypothesize that the in-situ breakup of small bodies such as asteroids spun to fission during the giant branch phases of stellar evolution provides an important contribution to this debris. The YORP effect, which arises from radiation pressure, accelerates the spin rate of asymmetric asteroids, which can eventually shear themselves apart. This pressure is maintained and enhanced around dying stars because the outward push of an asteroid due to stellar mass loss is insignificant compared to the resulting stellar luminosity increase. Consequently, giant star radiation will destroy nearly all bodies with radii in the range 100 m - 10 km that survive their parent star's main sequence lifetime within a distance of about 7 au; smaller bodies are spun apart to their strongest, competent components. This estimate is conservative, and would increase for highly asymmetric shapes or incorporation of the inward drag due to giant star stellar wind. The resulting debris field, which could extend to thousands of au, may be perturbed by remnant planetary systems to reproduce the observed dusty and gaseous discs which accompany polluted white dwarfs.

Key words: minor planets, asteroids: general – stars: white dwarfs – methods: numerical – celestial mechanics – planet and satellites: dynamical evolution and stability – protoplanetary discs

1 INTRODUCTION

Observations unambiguously demonstrate that single white dwarfs (WDs) harbour dusty and gaseous circumstellar discs. The mounting discoveries, particularly within the last decade, result in a current tally of about 30 dusty discs (Zuckerman & Becklin 1987; Becklin et al. 2005; Kilic et al. 2005; Reach et al. 2005; Farihi et al. 2009) and 7 gaseous discs (Gänsicke et al. 2006, 2007, 2008; Gänsicke 2011; Farihi et al. 2012; Melis et al. 2012); some systems include both dusty and gaseous discs (Brinkworth et al. 2009; Melis et al. 2010; Brinkworth et al. 2012). Every one of these discs is accompanied by detections of metals in WD atmospheres, indicating accretion from the disc.

Although we know that the disc matter arises from remnant planetary systems, we do not know from what part of those systems. Whether the progenitors, which remain undetected, are planets, asteroids or comets, they are assumed to be tidally or sublimationally disrupted into discs (Graham et al. 1990; Jura 2003; Bear & Soker 2013; Stone et al. 2014; Veras et al. 2014a). Dust modeling provides partial constrains on the particle sizes in these discs by yielding a lower limit on the order of a micron, but no upper limit (Reach et al. 2009). Because the discs are extremely compact in radial extent ($\sim 1 R_{\odot}$), planets have difficulty reaching such close separations. A single planet's orbit will be pushed outward by mass loss during the giant branch phases of stellar evolution, and can reverse direction only by giant-star/planet tides (Mustill & Villaver 2012; Adams & Bloch 2013; Nordhaus & Spiegel 2013; Villaver et al. 2014), severe anisotropy in the mass-loss distribution (Veras et al. 2013a), or through stellar flybys (Veras et al. 2014b). All these scenarios are unlikely, as tidal prescriptions would have to be finely-tuned to prevent engulfment into the star, mass loss can be considered isotropic within a few hundred au, and the probability of a flyby causing a near-collisional orbital perturbation is too low.

Systems with multiple planets present different difficulties. Because mass loss changes the stability boundary in multi-planet systems (Debes & Sigurdsson 2002; Voyatzis et al. 2013), giant branch evolution can trigger gravitational scattering instabilities amongst the planets. Detailed simulations of two-planet

⋆ E-mail: d.veras@warwick.ac.uk

© XXXX RAS

(Veras et al. 2013a) and three-planet (Mustill et al. 2014) systems across all phases of evolution, including the main sequence and WD phases, indicate that the frequency of planets scattered to near-collisional orbits with the WD is too small to explain the observations.

Smaller bodies transported inward from an external reservoir represent more likely progenitors. Both compositionally and dynamically, comets cannot be the primary precursor of the discs (Zuckerman et al. 2007; Veras et al. 2014c), although comets can contribute to some discs, particularly around the youngest WDs (Stone et al. 2014).

Asteroids[1] originating from an external belt are the more likely choice. By interacting with one planet, a fraction of a belt of debris can scatter close to or directly into the WD. Bonsor et al. (2011) showed how a planet plus a Kuiper belt analogue can scatter some of the belt into inner regions of a WD system. Debes et al. (2012) demonstrated that a planet can trap exo-asteroid belt members in an interior mean motion resonance before eventually scattering them to the Roche radius of the WD. Frewen & Hansen (2014) extended these studies and found that when the planet is of a lower mass and eccentric, then more asteroids encounter the WD radius.

In summary, although explorations of the potential sources of disc progenitors in WD systems are at an early stage, asteroids are likely to be the dominant source. This paper proposes that many asteroids will not survive the giant branch stages of stellar evolution intact due to radiation-induced rotational fission. Consequently, the reservoir of material available to form discs around WDs is composed of smaller fragments than what was previously assumed. We describe this type of disruption in Section 2 and conclude in Section 3.

2 SPIN EVOLUTION

In this section, we first describe the primary driver of spin (Subsection 2.1) and the critical spin at which disruption occurs (Subsection 2.2) before characterising the spin evolution along the main sequence (Subsection 2.3), giant branches (Subsection 2.4) and WD (Subsection 2.5) phases of stellar evolution. We conclude with numerical simulations (Subsection 2.6).

2.1 The YORP effect

The YORP (Yarkovsky-O'Keefe-Radviesvki-Paddock) effect is the rotational acceleration of a body due to the anisotropic absorption and radiation of light. The effect was first proposed in the context of absorbing stellar UV and visible light and the emittance of thermal radiation due to the local heating of the body (Rubincam 2000), although the role of conducted heat is now considered as possibly as important (Golubov & Krugly 2012).

Observationally-measured rotational acceleration of a number of asteroids has matched predictions from the YORP theory. Examples include 54509 YORP (2000 PH5) (Lowry et al. 2007; Taylor et al. 2007) and 1862 Apollo (Kaasalainen et al. 2007). The YORP effect also torques the spin pole of small bodies, aligning prograde orbiters and anti-aligning retrograde orbiters with the angular momentum vector of their heliocentric orbits (Vokrouhlický & Čapek 2002) and leaving entire asteroid families aligned (Vokrouhlický et al. 2003), thereby effecting the drift of smaller members due to the Yarkovsky effect (Bottke et al. 2002). As the spin axis is re-oriented, the body is spun down or up, helping to explain both the excess of slow rotators in the asteroid population and the pile-up of rapid rotators at the spin barrier (Pravec et al. 2008; Rossi et al. 2009). Acceleration is also responsible for driving small bodies to rotational fission—the process whereby objects with little or no tensile strength can fall apart and their elements enter a mutual orbit due to centrifugal accelerations matching or exceeding gravitational accelerations (Scheeres 2007a; Walsh et al. 2008; Jacobson & Scheeres 2011). YORP-induced rotational fission leads to the creation of asteroid pairs (Walsh et al. 2008; Pravec et al. 2010) and binary asteroids (Jacobson & Scheeres 2011) which match the characteristics of those observed in the Solar System. This fission also significantly effects the size-frequency distribution of the asteroids in the Main Belt (Jacobson et al. 2014).

Consider a solid body in orbit around a star. The evolution of the spin (s) of this object may be expressed as (Scheeres 2007b)

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{Y}{2\pi\rho R^2}\left(\frac{f}{a^2\sqrt{1-e^2}}\right) \quad (1)$$

where R is the mean radius of the body, ρ is its density, a and e are the semimajor axis and eccentricity of its orbit, f is a force and $Y \in [0, 1)$ is a constant determined by the physical properties of the body. The value of Y may be thought of as the extent of asymmetry of the asteroid. For a perfectly symmetric body, $Y = 0 = ds/dt$, which means that the asteroid's initial spin angular momentum is conserved and the asteroid maintains its original spin. Some Solar system-based examples include the asteroids 1862 Apollo, with $Y = 0.022$ (Kaasalainen et al. 2007) and 54509 YORP, with $Y = 0.005$ (Taylor et al. 2007). Observational limitations currently prevent us from measuring Y for small bodies residing outside of the Solar System.

The force f is given by equations (23-26) of Scheeres (2007b) and is a function of the incident stellar light pressure, the body's albedo, the fraction of radiation pressure due to specular reflection, and the Lambertian scattering coefficient. For the Solar System, this force ($f = f_\odot$) is linearly proportional to the Solar radiation constant, and is approximately equal to 10^{17}kg m/s^2. Therefore, we assume here for general planetary systems $f = kf_\odot$ where $k = L_\star/L_\odot$, such that L denotes luminosity.

2.2 The critical disruption spin

Observations of Solar System asteroids exhibit a sharp and unmistakable cutoff on a rotation period/radius diagram (originally Fig. 1 of Harris 1994 and recently Fig. 1 of Jacobson et al. 2014), suggesting that asteroids with radii greater than about 250 m break up when their rotational period becomes shorter than 2.33 hours. The critical spin at which disruption occurs ($\equiv s_{\rm crit}$) can take one of several analytical forms (e.g., equations

[1] Here and throughout the text, asteroid is used synonymously for small bodies and does not necessarily imply a rocky composition.

34-38 of Davidsson 1999). Given our lack of knowledge of extrasolar asteroids, we assume they are strengthless rubble piles similar to what is observed in the Solar System (Richardson et al. 2002), and hence

$$s_{\rm crit} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3\pi/G\rho}} = 7.48 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{\rho}{2\ {\rm g/cm^3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\rm rad}{\rm s}. \quad (2)$$

In the Solar system, the 2.33 hour critical limit corresponds to a density of about 2 g/cm^3.

2.3 Main sequence evolution

The Solar system is roughly 4.5 Gyr old, and contains a $\sim 5\times10^{-4} M_\oplus$ asteroid belt that has been continually ground down from a $\sim M_\oplus$ primordial belt (Bottke et al. 2005) through dynamical processes such as collisional evolution (O'Brien & Sykes 2011). The most asymmetric asteroids with orbits close to the Sun will have already been destroyed by rotational overspinning. In fact, the YORP spin timescale is shorter than the spin timescale induced by collisions for asteroids in the Main Belt with sizes $\lesssim$ 10 km (Marzari et al. 2011 and equations 2-3 of Jacobson et al. 2014). Some asteroids have changed their shape due to collisions, eventually becoming asymmetric and hence destroyed. Despite these possibilities, some asteroids will likely survive for the remaining main sequence lifetime of the Sun. Extrasolar systems may exhibit the same characteristics, perhaps typically featuring asteroid belts 2-3 orders of magnitude greater in mass than the Solar System's (Debes et al. 2012). Our focus here is on the population of asteroids which survive until the beginning of their star's giant branch phases.

2.4 Giant branch stellar evolution

As the star evolves off the main sequence, asteroid orbits that are within a few hundred au will evolve adiabatically (Veras et al. 2011), meaning that the semimajor axis will expand but the eccentricity will remain constant. Mass emanating from the star during the giant branch phases will impact orbiting asteroids (see equations 2-3 of Duncan & Lissauer 1998) and perhaps change their shape. However, without a detailed model of impact, the character of this change is unknown, and hence we fix Y as a constant [2]. Mass clumps accompanying superwinds on the asymptotic giant branch may destroy particularly small asteroids outright. In this vein, the effect of anisotropic stellar mass loss may be more important for destruction of small bodies than for their orbital variations from adiabaticity (Veras et al. 2013a). The smallest bodies, with radii below about 1 cm, will be entrained by the stellar wind (Dong et al. 2010).

In order to estimate the timescale for asteroidal breakup due to overspinning, we must consider the form of $L_\star(t)$. The evolution of the luminosity of a star is a complex function of its physical properties from the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) epoch, and hence are not amenable to simple functional forms. Therefore, we compute $L_\star(t)$ along the giant branch phases by using the `SSE` stellar evolution code (Hurley et al. 2000) with a standard Reimers mass loss coefficient of 0.5 on the red giant branch and the semi-empirical mass-loss rate of Vassiliadis & Wood (1993) along the asymptotic giant branch phases. All simulations assume Solar metallicity at the ZAMS.

2.4.1 Stellar tides

Small bodies which lie too close to an evolving star will be engulfed by the expanding envelope. The envelope expands to a distance in au roughly equal to the initial number of Solar masses contained within the star (Fig. 2 of Veras et al. 2013b). However, stellar tides extend beyond the reach of the star, as will be true with likely fatal consequences for the Earth (Schröder & Connon Smith 2008). Mustill & Villaver (2012) quantified this extended reach along the giant branch phases by modelling how the stellar surface pulses. Their Figure 7 plots the orbital distance of the initially most distant planet engulfed by the bloated star as a function of stellar mass for $1M_\odot - 5M_\odot$. We use their values for terrestrial planets as a proxy to guide our choices for our initial pericentre [$\equiv a(1-e)$] such that our asteroids will survive engulfment along the giant branch phases.

2.4.2 Wind drag

Even if a small body survives engulfment and withstands impact from the strong stellar wind, its outward motion due to stellar mass loss might be counterbalanced by drag forces within the wind itself (Bonsor & Wyatt 2010; Dong et al. 2010). These authors show that inward drift due to drag requires knowledge of the wind speed, is strongly dependent on the body size, and predominantly occurs when mass loss is strong. However, they do not consider how asymmetry affects the inward motion. Nevertheless, any type of inward drift of asymmetric asteroids will shorten the rotational disruption timescale. We do not model wind drag, and in effect then place a conservative upper limit on this timescale by considering only outward motion due to mass loss.

2.4.3 The Yarkovsky effect

Different from YORP, the Yarkovsky effect is the orbital recoil experienced by a body – even if symmetric – due to thermal radiation, and acts primarily on bodies with sizes between 1 m - 100 m for the relevant time periods and distances in our Solar System (e.g. Farinella et al. 1998). Like YORP, the Yarkovsky effect has been empirically verified (e.g. Rubincam 1987), and is a detailed function of parameters such as the temperature, albedo, shape and size of the body. The resulting drift in the body can be towards or away from the star. Solar system asteroids with radii under 100 m experience a typical maximum drift of about 10^{-3} au per Myr (Farinella et al. 1998).

Here we consider only larger objects, and hence avoid the detailed modelling which would be necessary to understand the influence of the Yarkovsky effect. Nevertheless, we point out that the orbital evolution of these smaller asteroids may be dramatically affected by re-radiation. For Solar system asteroids, the in-

[2] In reality, variations in asteroid shape could occur on shorter timescales than the YORP timescale, and the interplay between the two can significantly influence whether fission occurs (Cotto-Figueroa et al. 2013). Additionally, exo-meteoroid impacts on asteroids could enhance or mitigate the YORP effect (Wiegert 2014).


Spin evolution during giant branch phases
a_0 = 3.0 au, e = 0.00, Y = 0.01, R = 1 km, ρ = 2 g/cm^3
Spin evolution during giant branch phases
a_0 = 3.0 au, e = 0.00, Y = 0.01, R = 10 km, ρ = 2 g/cm^3
a_0 = 7.0 au, e = 0.00, Y = 0.01, R = 1 km, ρ = 2 g/cm^3
a_0 = 7.0 au, e = 0.00, Y = 0.01, R = 10 km, ρ = 2 g/cm^3
M_{ZAMS}=1.0$M_\odot$
M_{ZAMS}=2.0$M_\odot$
M_{ZAMS}=3.0$M_\odot$
M_{ZAMS}=4.0$M_\odot$
M_{ZAMS}=5.0$M_\odot$
Breakup Spin
Spin (rad/s)
Time since star turns off of main sequence (Myr)

Figure 1. Exo-asteroid belts will be destroyed during giant branch evolution. The left and right panels respectively feature the spin-up of objects with radii of $R = 1, 10$ km, and the top and bottom panels respectively place these bodies on *initial* $a_0 = 3.0$, 7.0 au circular orbits ($e = 0$). The objects have typical asteroid densities of $\rho = 2$ g/cm^3 and a degree of asymmetry corresponding to $Y = 0.01$. The horizontal black lines represent the critical spin value at which an asteroid will tear itself apart (equation 2). All objects are assumed to begin life on the giant branch with no spin, and the curves are drawn from the beginning of the red giant branch phases. Dots indicate when the stars become WDs. The stars are all assumed to initially harbour Solar metallicity.

solation due to Yarkovsky is proportional to the orbital acceleration and hence the time evolution of orbital parameters such as the semimajor axis (Section 3.1 and equation 42 of Bottke et al. 2000) and eccentricity. Consequently, for extrasolar systems, the contribution to the asteroid's motion includes a multiplier $k = L_\star/L_\odot$ in the equations for da/dt and de/dt, just as for equation (1). By using `SSE`, we find that for main sequence stellar masses of $\{1M_\odot, 2M_\odot, 3M_\odot, 4M_\odot, 5M_\odot, 6M_\odot, 7M_\odot, 8M_\odot\}$, the maximum factor increase in luminosity ($k_{\max}$) is $\approx \{4070, 9200, 18700, 31800, 46300, 61800, 70000, 92000\}$. Consequently, the maximum possible drift due to Yarkovsky is about 10^{-6} au per yr for the lowest mass stars and 10^{-4} au per yr for the highest mass stars. These values are comparable to the orbital expansion rates due to mass loss at the tip of the asymptotic giant branch.

2.5 White dwarf stellar evolution

When the star becomes a WD, its luminosity profile changes, quickly becoming sub-Solar. The time since becoming a WD is known as the *cooling age*. The cooling ages at which WD luminosities become sub-Solar is a few Myr in all cases. After another 10 Myr, the luminosity decreases by an order of magnitude. For cooling ages of 100 Myr, $k \sim 0.01$. Consequently, any asymmetric asteroids which have survived to this stage are likely to remain intact for several Gyr of WD evolution unless they are already spinning near the critical rate.

2.6 Simulations

Here we perform simulations that showcase the extent of rotational disruption when the parent star leaves the main sequence. We assume, conservatively, that all asteroids begin evolving during giant branch evolution without any spin and that the asteroid shape ($Y = 0.01$) does not change The eccentricity of the asteroid remains constant, as adiabatic mass loss predicts. Both the luminosity of the star and the semimajor axis of the asteroid are varied; the latter is varied adiabatically and so is independent of initial orbital orientation. We model the evolution of stars with main sequence progenitor masses of $1 - 5M_\odot$,



Figure 2. The maximum (final) distance, or semimajor axis, at which asteroids with forever circular orbits ($e = 0$), a radius R, density of $\rho = 2$ g/cm^3 and asymmetry parameter Y of 0.01 can be destroyed by YORP. Each dot represents the result of an integration initialised with the highest initial semimajor axis for which destruction occurs. Because mass loss becomes nonadiabatic at a few hundred au (Veras et al. 2011), and this motion is not modelled here, the two rightmost curves are more approximate than the other three.

as these likely represent the range of progenitor masses which have yielded the vast majority of currently observed WDs.

We present results in Fig. 1, which highlights the great extent of radiation-induced destruction for any exo-asteroid belts. Even slightly asymmetric asteroids as large as 10 km at 7 au on the main sequence will likely reach the disruption limit. The higher the stellar mass, the faster the disruption occurs. Asteroids which fail to disrupt before the WD is formed are likely to remain intact orbiting the WD (in the absence of other influences), as extending the plots to include WD luminosity cause the curves to nearly flatline.

Consequently, we predict that WD systems can be split into three regions with regard to populations of objects with radii of about 100m-10km: (1) an inner region, which is devoid of such asteroids, (2) an intermediate region, which is strewn with debris from rotational breakup, and (3) an outer region, which contains predominantly fully-intact asteroids. The boundaries between the regions are strongly dependent on the ZAMS stellar mass; the boundary between the second and third regions are shown in Figure 2, which plots the maximum possible distance at which asteroids can be broken apart by YORP. The figure illustrates that this destruction boundary ranges from a few tens of au to a few thousands of au depending on asteroid radius. Broken-up asteroids could then provide a widely-extended debris reservoir of pollutants with a particular size distribution (e.g. Wyatt et al. 2014) to be delivered to the WD via dynamical interactions with planets. These results could also have implications for debris discs which are observed around subgiant and giant stars (Bonsor et al. 2013, 2014).

3 SUMMARY

We have identified a potentially significant source of debris in post-main-sequence planetary systems: the remains of asymmetric asteroids which have spun up beyond their breaking points due to stellar radiation during the giant branch stages of stellar evolution. Typical asymmetric asteroids with radii between about 100m - 10km that reside within about 7 au of the star during the main sequence will be destroyed, leaving a debris field orbiting WDs at distances which can range from a few tens to a few thousands of au. Objects larger than 10km in size will be largely unaffected by YORP. The majority of the asteroidal-based mass would be contained in these large objects, such as analogues of Ceres and Vesta. The debris from rotational breakup may provide a reservoir for WD disc creation and ultimately atmospheric pollution. WD luminosity itself is too low to destroy asteroids in timescales within Gyr unless the asteroids are already spinning near the breakup speed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Grant Agreement n. 320964 (WDTracer).

REFERENCES

Adams, F. C., & Bloch, A. M. 2013, ApJL, 777, L30
Bear, E., & Soker, N. 2013, New Astronomy, 19, 56
Bonsor, A., & Wyatt, M. 2010, MNRAS, 409, 1631
Bonsor, A., Mustill, A. J., & Wyatt, M. C. 2011, MNRAS, 414, 930
Bonsor, A., Kennedy, G. M., Crepp, J. R., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 3025
Bonsor, A., Kennedy, G. M., Wyatt, M. C., Johnson, J. A., & Sibthorpe, B. 2014, MNRAS, 437, 3288
Becklin, E. E., Farihi, J., Jura, M., et al. 2005, ApJL, 632, L119
Bottke, W. F., Jr., Rubincam, D. P., & Burns, J. A. 2000, Icarus, 145, 301
Bottke, W. F., Jr., Vokrouhlický, D., Rubincam, D. P., & Broz, M. 2002, Asteroids III, 395
Bottke, W. F., Durda, D. D., Nesvorný, D., et al. 2005, Icarus, 179, 63
Brinkworth, C. S., Gänsicke, B. T., Marsh, T. R., Hoard, D. W., & Tappert, C. 2009, ApJ, 696, 1402
Brinkworth, C. S., Gänsicke, B. T., Girven, J. M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 750, 86
Cotto-Figueroa, D., Statler, T. S., Richardson, D. C., & Tanga, P. 2013, AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts, 45, #106.09
Davidsson, B. J. R. 1999, Icarus, 142, 525
Debes, J. H., & Sigurdsson, S. 2002, ApJ, 572, 556
Debes, J. H., Walsh, K. J., & Stark, C. 2012, ApJ, 747, 148
Dong, R., Wang, Y., Lin, D. N. C., & Liu, X.-W. 2010, ApJ, 715, 1036
Duncan, M. J., & Lissauer, J. J. 1998, Icarus, 134, 303
Farihi, J., Jura, M., & Zuckerman, B. 2009, ApJ, 694, 805
Farihi, J., Dufour, P., Napiwotzki, R., & Koester, D. 2011, MNRAS, 413, 2559
Farihi, J., Gänsicke, B. T., Steele, P. R., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 1635

Farinella, P., Vokrouhlický, D., & Hartmann, W. K. 1998, Icarus, 132, 378
Frewen, S. F. N., & Hansen, B. M. S. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 2442
Gänsicke, B. T., Marsh, T. R., Southworth, J., & Rebassa-Mansergas, A. 2006, Science, 314, 1908
Gänsicke, B. T., Marsh, T. R., & Southworth, J. 2007, MNRAS, 380, L35
Gänsicke, B. T., Koester, D., Marsh, T. R., Rebassa-Mansergas, A., & Southworth, J. 2008, MNRAS, 391, L103
Gänsicke, B. T. 2011, American Institute of Physics Conference Series, 1331, 211
Golubov, O., & Krugly, Y. N. 2012, ApJL, 752, L11
Graham, J. R., Matthews, K., Neugebauer, G., & Soifer, B. T. 1990, ApJ, 357, 216
Harris, A. W. 1994, Icarus, 107, 209
Hurley, J. R., Pols, O. R., & Tout, C. A. 2000, MNRAS, 315, 543
Jacobson, S. A., & Scheeres, D. J. 2011, Icarus, 214, 161
Jacobson, S. A., Marzari, F., Rossi, A., Scheeres, D. J., & Davis, D. R. 2014, MNRAS, 439, L95
Jewitt, D., Agarwal, J., Li, J., et al. 2014, ApJL, 784, L8
Jura, M. 2003, ApJL, 584, L91
Kaasalainen, M., Ďurech, J., Warner, B. D., Krugly, Y. N., & Gaftonyuk, N. M. 2007, Nature, 446, 420
Kilic, M., von Hippel, T., Leggett, S. K., & Winget, D. E. 2005, ApJL, 632, L115
Koester, D., Girven, J., Gänsicke, B. T., & Dufour, P. 2011, A&A, 530, A114
Koester, D., Gänsicke, B. T., & Farihi, J. 2014, A&A, 566, A34
Lowry, S. C., Fitzsimmons, A., Pravec, P., et al. 2007, Science, 316, 272
Marzari, F., Rossi, A., & Scheeres, D. J. 2011, Icarus, 214, 622
Melis, C., Jura, M., Albert, L., Klein, B., & Zuckerman, B. 2010, ApJ, 722, 1078
Melis, C., Dufour, P., Farihi, J., et al. 2012, ApJL, 751, L4
Minton, D. A., & Malhotra, R. 2010, Icarus, 207, 744
Mustill, A. J., Veras, D., & Villaver, E. 2014, MNRAS, 437, 1404
Mustill, A. J., & Villaver, E. 2012, ApJ, 761, 121
Nordhaus, J., & Spiegel, D. S. 2013, MNRAS, 432, 500
O'Brien, D. P., & Sykes, M. V. 2011, Space Science Reviews, 163, 41
Pravec, P., Harris, A. W., Vokrouhlický, D., et al. 2008, Icarus, 197, 497
Pravec, P., Vokrouhlický, D., Polishook, D., et al. 2010, Nature, 466, 1085
Richardson, D. C., Leinhardt, Z. M., Melosh, H. J., Bottke, W. F., Jr., & Asphaug, E. 2002, Asteroids III, 501
Reach, W. T., Kuchner, M. J., von Hippel, T., et al. 2005, ApJL, 635, L161
Reach, W. T., Lisse, C., von Hippel, T., & Mullally, F. 2009, ApJ, 693, 697
Rossi, A., Marzari, F., & Scheeres, D. J. 2009, Icarus, 202, 95
Rubincam, D. P. 1987, JGR, 92, 1287
Rubincam, D. P. 2000, Icarus, 148, 2
Scheeres, D. J. 2007a, Icarus, 189, 370
Scheeres, D. J. 2007b, Icarus, 188, 430
Schröder, K.-P., & Connon Smith, R. 2008, MNRAS, 386, 155
Stone, N., Metzger, B., & Loeb, A. 2014, arXiv:1404.3213
Taylor, P. A., Margot, J.-L., Vokrouhlický, D., et al. 2007, Science, 316, 274
Vassiliadis, E., & Wood, P. R. 1993, ApJ, 413, 641
Veras, D., Wyatt, M. C., Mustill, A. J., Bonsor, A., & Eldridge, J. J. 2011, MNRAS, 417, 2104
Veras, D., Hadjidemetriou, J. D., & Tout, C. A. 2013a, MNRAS, 435, 2416
Veras, D., Mustill, A. J., Bonsor, A., & Wyatt, M. C. 2013b, MNRAS, 431, 1686
Veras, D., Leinhardt, Z. M., Bonsor, A., & Gänsicke, B. T. 2014a, MNRAS In Press, arXiv:1409.2493
Veras, D., Evans, N. W., Wyatt, M. C., & Tout, C. A. 2014b, MNRAS, 437, 1127
Veras, D., Shannon, A., Gänsicke, B. T. 2014c, Submitted to MNRAS
Villaver, E., Livio, M., Mustill, A. J., & Siess, L. 2014, ApJ In Press, arXiv:1407.7879
Vokrouhlický, D., & Čapek, D. 2002, Icarus, 159, 449
Vokrouhlický, D., Nesvorný, D., & Bottke, W. F. 2003, Nature, 425, 147
Voyatzis, G., Hadjidemetriou, J. D., Veras, D., & Varvoglis, H. 2013, MNRAS, 430, 3383
Walsh, K. J., Richardson, D. C., & Michel, P. 2008, Nature, 454, 188
Wiegert, P. A. 2014, Submitted to Icarus, arXiv:1407.3714
Wolszczan, A. 1994, Science, 264, 538
Wyatt, M. C., Farihi, J., Pringle, J. E., & Bonsor, A. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 3371
Zuckerman, B., Koester, D., Reid, I. N., Hünsch, M. 2003, ApJ, 596, 477
Zuckerman, B., Koester, D., Melis, C., Hansen, B. M., & Jura, M. 2007, ApJ, 671, 872
Zuckerman, B., Melis, C., Klein, B., Koester, D., & Jura, M. 2010, ApJ, 722, 725
Zuckerman, B., & Becklin, E. E. 1987, Nature, 330, 138